

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,983	09/22/2000	Robert Zayatz	04645.0664	1088
7:	590 05/23/2002			
R Kent Roberts Hodgson Russ Andrews Woods & Goodyear LLP Suite 2000			EXAMINER	
			DINH, TUAN T	
One M&T Plaz	a		ADELINAT	DA DED AVIA
Buffalo, NY 14203-2391			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
		DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MC			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummers	09/667,983	ZAYATZ, ROBE	₹Т			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan T Dinh	2827	<u> </u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Sources the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered tim IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 /	March 2002 .					
	is action is non-fir	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application		tion				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requiren	nent				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7 	4) 5) 6) 1	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (FO) Other:				

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, lines 9-10, it is unclear. Applicant recites "wherein the electrical component is permitted to reside between and be protect by the housing..." is not understood. Where the electrical component is located between?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2, 5-12, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanida (U. S. Patent 5,876,868) in view of Iwaizono et al. (U. S. Patent 6,322,921).

Art Unit: 2827

As best understood to claims 1, 9, and 17, Alexandres discloses a power device and a method as shown in figures 1-7 comprising:

a protective housing (8-figure 1, column 2, lines 35-39) having a base (5-figure 1, column 2, line 25) with a contact hole (see figure 1) therethrough and having a projecting perimeter wall (8b, column 3, line 2) extending from the base (5);

a sleeve (3-figures 1-3, column 3, line 20) joined to the perimeter wall (8b-figure3); and

a terminal cap (4, column 2, lines 22-23) capture between said sleeve (3) and said protective housing.

Tanida does not show an electrical component permitted to reside between the base and the terminal cap.

Iwaizono teaches a protection device having an electrical component (27, column 9, line 54) disclosed in figures 7-10 permitted to reside between a base (38) and a terminal cap (39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify of Tanida and provide an electrical component between a base and terminal cap as taught by Iwaizono in order to make an electrical contact and operate the protecting device.

Regarding claims 2, and 10-12, Tanida discloses the protection device as shown in figure 1 wherein the base (5) having an orifice (see figure 1-an opening) therethrough.

Art Unit: 2827

Regarding claims 5-7, 15-16, and 19-20, Tanida the protection device and the method as shown in figures 1-3 further comprising a sheet (6) made by adhesive (see cross hatching of figures 2-3) between the base (5) and a battery (2-figure 1).

Regarding claim 8, Tanida discloses the protection device as shown in figures 1-3 wherein the sleeve (3) is held relative to the perimeter wall by an interference fit.

Regarding claim 18, Iwaizono discloses the method as shown in figures 1-3 further comprising:

Providing an insulating material (40, column 10, line 2) disclosed in figures 7-9 on the electrical component (27).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an insulating material on the component as taught by Iwaizono to employ the protection device of Tanida in order to reduce heat dissipation from the component.

4. Claims 3-4, and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanida (U. S. Patent 5,876,868) in view of Iwaizono et al. (U. S. Patent 6,322,921), and further in view of Alexandres et al. (5,521,021).

Regarding claims 3-4 and 13-14, Tanida and Iwaizono do not teach a means for fastening is a tineman. Alexandres discloses the protection housing as shown in figures 1-3 further including a means for fastening is a tinnerman fastener (56-figure 1) joined to the base and an electrical terminal pin (54).

Art Unit: 2827

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a tinnerman fastener as taught by Alexandres to employ the fastener of Tanida and Iwaizono in order to provide an assembly secure together in one part.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2827

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TD

May 13, 2002

YKAMAND CUNEO PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6